(3)

LF 100/F

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.No.3543 OF 2003.

PETITIONER : Nammi Singh, S/o Shri Chhedu Singh, Aged about 47 years, Ex-Constable No. 124, Government Railway Police, Raipur, (C.G.) and R/o Village-Pharaswani, Tahsil & Police Station Dabhra, District Janjgeer-Champa, (C.G.).

P. R. No. 33423/03
Presented by Shri Jyoti Pandey
dated 29/10/03

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through : The Secretary Ministry of Home Affairs, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.).
2. The Superintemdent of Police Government Railway Police, Raipur, (C.G.).
3. Gyan Singh, Head Constable No.316, Government Railway Police, G.R.P. Police StationRaigarh, (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
29/10/03
Clerk to A G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(24)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 3543/03

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	6.2.2004 Petitioner by Shri Rakesh Mohand Pandey, Advocate. State by Shri Praveen Das, Panel lawyer. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India challenging the order dt. 22.2.1994 (Annexure P/1) whereby the petitioner has been retired compulsorily. At the relevant time the petitioner was working at Govt. Railway Police, Raipur. The allegation against the petitioner were that on 2.12.1993 while he was on duty he consumed liquor thereby he violated the code of conduct; at that time he also quarreled, abused and beaten the head constable and thereby violated the police conduct Rules and was responsible for insubordination; and the third charge was that he had left the place of duty thereby he was negligent to his duty by leaving the place of duty. After enquiry the petitioner was compulsorily retired. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the Respondent No.2 and the Respondent No.2 may be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in an objective manner, to which learned Panel lawyer has no objection.	

XI-HC-22

(25)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3543/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to the Respondent No.2 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn the Respondent No.2 will decide the representation filed by the petitioner within a further period of 30 days in an objective manner by passing an appropriate order taking into consideration all the points raised by the petitioner. **With the above observations this petition is disposed of finally. Consequently I.A. No. 10152/2003 stands disposed of.** **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

[पीछे देखिये